



New Zealand Gazette

OF THURSDAY, 14 DECEMBER 2000

WELLINGTON: WEDNESDAY, 20 DECEMBER 2000 — ISSUE NO. 169

Vice Regal

Cabinet Office

Honours

Retention of the Title “The Honourable”

His Excellency The Governor-General has advised that Her Majesty The Queen has been pleased to approve the

retention of the title “The Honourable” by:

Peter George Spenser Penlington

on his retirement as a permanent Judge of the High Court of New Zealand on 10 December 2000.

Dated at Wellington this 14th day of December 2000.

HELEN CLARK, Prime Minister.

vr9385

Departmental Notices

Education

Education Act 1989

Dissolution of Board of Trustees and Appointment of Commissioner at Sacred Heart College, Napier (219)

Acting under delegated authority, pursuant to section 106 (1) (aa) of the Education Act 1989, for the reason that so many casual vacancies have arisen that there is no longer any member of the board who is eligible to preside at meetings of the board, I hereby dissolve the Sacred Heart College Board of Trustees and appoint

Neil McDonald

as Commissioner to act in its place.

This notice shall take effect the day after its publication.

Dated at Wellington this 18th day of December 2000.

KATHY PHILLIPS, Senior Manager, National Operations.

go9391

Notice of Special Programme for the Purposes of an Enrolment Scheme

Pursuant to section 11B of the Education Act 1989, the Secretary for Education hereby approves the following programme as a special programme for the purposes of an enrolment scheme:

The Specialist Music Programme at Westburn School (Christchurch).

Dated at Wellington this 18th day of December 2000.

HOWARD FANCY, Secretary for Education.

go9392

Justice

Crimes Act 1961

Reference to the Court of Appeal of Points Arising From an Application for the Exercise of the Mercy of the Crown Made by David Cullen Bain

MICHAEL HARDIE BOYS, Governor-General

ORDER IN COUNCIL

At Wellington this 18th day of December 2000

Present:

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL IN COUNCIL

WHEREAS—

A. On 29 May 1995 David Cullen Bain was convicted in the High Court at Dunedin on 5 counts of murder and was, on 21 June 1995, sentenced to life imprisonment with a minimum non-parole period of 16 years; and

B. On 19 December 1995 the Court of Appeal dismissed David Cullen Bain's appeal against his convictions and sentence and the Privy Council subsequently dismissed his application for special leave to appeal; and

C. An application has been made, dated 15 June 1998, for the exercise of the mercy of the Crown in respect of those convictions and sentence on the grounds that, among others,—

- (1) a series of errors and omissions occurred in the course of the investigations conducted by the police and in the course of scientific and forensic testing and analyses undertaken by the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited, and that these errors led to incorrect and misleading evidence being presented to the jury; and
- (2) a range of fresh or otherwise undisclosed evidence is now available that bears on the "alibi" defence advanced by David Cullen Bain at trial; and
- (3) a range of fresh or otherwise undisclosed evidence is now available that tends to establish that Robin Bain murdered 4 members of his family and then committed suicide; and
- (4) both the Crown and the defence made errors in the conduct of the trial; and

D. His Excellency the Governor-General, with a view to the determination of the application, desires the assistance of the Court of Appeal on the points arising from the application that are set out in the Schedule of this order (which points are framed in the form of questions and appear in that schedule together with a list of documents that the Court is requested to consider in relation to each question):

Now, therefore, pursuant to section 406 (b) of the Crimes Act 1961, His Excellency the Governor-General, acting on the advice and with the consent of the Executive Council, refers each of the points set out in the Schedule to the Court of Appeal for its opinion and requests the Court, in considering those points—

- (a) to have regard to—
 - (i) the evidence given at the applicant's trial (as defined in the Schedule); and
 - (ii) such of the evidence given in any of the other proceedings (as defined in the Schedule) as the Court considers relevant; and
- (b) to consider, in such manner and to such extent as the Court thinks just, any further or other material to be put before the Court (including evidence on oath and evidence resulting from cross-examination); and

- (c) to hear such submissions on behalf of the applicant and such submissions on behalf of the Crown as the Court thinks just.

Schedule

Points Referred to the Court of Appeal

1. Interpretation—In this schedule,—

applicant means David Cullen Bain

applicant's trial means the trial that was conducted in the High Court at Dunedin in the period beginning on 8 May 1995 and ending on 29 May 1995 and resulted in the applicant being convicted on 5 counts of murder

computer means the personal computer found by the police at 65 Every Street, Dunedin on 20 June 1994

other proceedings means—

- (a) the proceedings in the District Court at Dunedin at which the depositions leading to the applicant's trial were taken; and
- (b) the proceedings (for defamation) brought in the High Court at Auckland relating to the conduct of police officers during the investigations leading to the applicant's trial (*Weir and Anderson v. Karam and Reed Publishing (NZ) Limited*, CP139/98).

2. Questions for opinion of Court of Appeal—The questions for the opinion of the Court of Appeal are—

Question 1:

Was the computer turned on at a time earlier than 6.44 a.m. on 20 June 1994 or, at the very least, is there a reasonable possibility that the computer could have been turned on at a time earlier than 6.44 a.m. on that date?

The following documents are or may be relevant to this question:

- (a) a report entitled "Bain Homicide Computer Examination" compiled by M. J. Kleintjes, Chief Technical Investigator, Police Electronic Crime Laboratory;
- (b) a job sheet from Detective K. W. Anderson, dated 21 June 1994;
- (c) an undated and unsigned note stated by the applicant to be a notebook entry made by Detective K. W. Anderson on 21 June 1994;
- (d) a statement by M. J. Cox, Computing Adviser, University of Otago, dated 22 June 1994;
- (e) an unsigned job sheet stated by the applicant to be from Detective C. J. Robinson, dated 25 June 1994;
- (f) a signed deposition statement by M. J. Cox, Computing Adviser, University of Otago, dated 11 October 1994;
- (g) a job sheet from Detective Constable T. Thomson, dated 11 October 1994.

Question 2:

Did the lens that was found in Stephen Bain's bedroom get there at a time or in a way that was unrelated to the murders or, at the very least, is there a reasonable possibility that this could have been so?

The following documents are or may be relevant to this question:

- (a) a typewritten extract of a document stated by the applicant to be page 17 of the deposition statement of Detective Sergeant M. C. Weir;
- (b) photocopies of photographs numbered 61, 62, and 99 produced at the applicant's trial;
- (c) a job sheet from Detective Sergeant M. C. Weir, dated 23 June 1994;

- (d) an undated and unsigned note stated by the applicant to be a notebook entry made by Detective Sergeant M. C. Weir on 23 June 1994;
- (e) a report compiled by Brian Donovan, Audio Visual Centre/Photographic Section, The University of Auckland, dated 20 November 1996;
- (f) the affidavit of Gordon Frank Sanderson, optometrist, dated 8 May 1997;
- (g) a report compiled by Peter J. Durrant, scientific photographer, dated February 1998.

Question 3:

Were the applicant's positive fingerprint marks, made in blood, that were found on the rifle used to commit the murders, put there at some time before the murders or, at the very least, is there a reasonable possibility that this could have been so?

The following documents are or may be relevant to this question:

- (a) case notes from P. R. Hentschel, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited;
- (b) case notes from Dr P. Cropp, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited;
- (c) a table labelled "Test I: Quantitation and Amplification Record" from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited, dated 7 August 1997;
- (d) a table labelled "Test II: Quantitation and Amplification Record" from the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited, dated 19 August 1997;
- (e) a diagram prepared by Dr Sally Ann Harbison, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited, dated 3 September 1997;
- (f) a letter (and attached table) from Dr Sally Ann Harbison, Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited, dated 5 March 1998;
- (g) a report compiled by Dr John Maxwell Robertson, General Manager, Accreditation Services, International Accreditation New Zealand, dated 2 April 1998;
- (h) a report compiled by Dr Arie Geursen, Director of Resources, Genesis Research and Development Corporation Limited, dated 12 June 1998;

- (i) a letter from Dr Arie Geursen, Director of Resources, Genesis Research and Development Corporation Limited, dated 25 March 1999;
- (j) a letter from Kim Jones, Senior Fingerprint Officer, Crime Print Section, Christchurch Police, dated 28 April 2000.

Question 4:

Was the submission made by the Crown Solicitor in the Crown's closing address to the jury at the applicant's trial that "Only one person could have heard Laniet gurgling. That person is the murderer" wrong or misleading?

The following documents are or may be relevant to this question:

- (a) page 101 of the summary of the briefs of evidence for the applicant's trial prepared by defence counsel, Michael Guest, containing the applicant's handwritten notations;
- (b) a report compiled by Dr K. J. Thomson, forensic pathologist, dated 21 August 1997;
- (c) a supplementary report and opinion compiled by Professor Stephen M. Cordner, Professor of Forensic Medicine, Monash University, dated 9 February 1998;
- (d) a report compiled by Dr James Gwynne, retired anatomical and forensic pathologist, dated 23 July 1998;
- (e) the affidavit of Ray Pritchard, retired laboratory technician, dated 12 November 1998.

Question 5:

Does the Court of Appeal's opinion on questions 1, 2, 3, and 4 (whether taken individually or collectively) indicate that there is credible and cogent evidence available that might, if it had been placed before the jury, along with the other evidence given at the applicant's trial, have reasonably led the jury to return a different verdict?

Question 6:

Having regard to the Court of Appeal's opinion on question 5, is there a possibility that there has been a miscarriage of justice that would warrant the question of the applicant's convictions being referred to the Court of Appeal under section 406 (a) of the Crimes Act 1961?

MARIE SHROFF, Clerk of the Executive Council.

go9384

New Zealand Customs Service

Customs and Excise Act 1996

Customs (Applications for Customs Rulings) Amendment Rules 2000

Pursuant to section 288 (1) (h) and (12) of the Customs and Excise Act 1996, the Chief Executive hereby makes the rules prescribing the forms for the making of applications for customs rulings and amends the Customs (Applications for Customs Rulings) Rules 1997.

1. Title and commencement—(1) These rules are the Customs (Applications for Customs Rulings) Amendment Rules 2000.

(2) In these rules, the Customs (Applications for Customs Rulings) 1997* are called "the principal rules".

(3) These rules come into force on the 28th day after the date of their publication in the *New Zealand Gazette*.

(*CR 1H/1997)

2. Schedule amended—(1) The Schedule of the principal rules is amended by revoking form C7A and form C7B, and substituting form C7A and form C7B set out in the Schedule of these rules.

(2) Except to the extent amended by subrule (1) the principal rules shall remain in force.

Schedule



Tē Mana Arai o Aotearoa

**APPLICATION FOR A CUSTOMS RULING
(COUNTRY OF PRODUCE OR MANUFACTURE)**

(Under S119 (1)(c) of the Customs and Excise Act 1996)

Form C7A

For Official Use:
Date of receipt:
Application No.:
Date accepted:

APPLICANT'S DETAILS

Applicant:

Applicant's Address:

.....

Agent (if applicable):

Agent's Address:

.....

Contact Person:

Phone Number: Fax Number:

Describe The Particular Goods That Are The Subject Of The Application

.....

Provide Details Of The Manufacturer Of The Goods (including name, address, phone number) If This Is A Different Person From The Applicant

.....

The Country Or Country Group Relating To The Application

Country or Country Group	Tick appropriate box
Australia	<input type="checkbox"/>
Canada	<input type="checkbox"/>
Malaysia	<input type="checkbox"/>
Singapore	<input type="checkbox"/>
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Isle of Man, and the Channel Islands	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Country:
Developing Countries (LDC & LLDC)	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Country:
Forum Island Countries (SPARTECA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Country:

Specify Origin Category Relating To The Application

Origin Category	Tick appropriate box
Unmanufactured raw products/goods wholly obtained/goods wholly produced/ goods wholly produced or obtained (delete categories not applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Goods wholly manufactured	<input type="checkbox"/>
Goods partly manufactured	<input type="checkbox"/>

Applicant's Opinion As To What The Customs Ruling Should Be*

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

**(additional comments may be provided on a separate sheet, signed and attached to this application)*

Signed: Dated:

General notes

1. Information is required to be provided as well as supporting documentation to establish that the goods for which a Ruling is sought meet the particular rules of origin criteria as set out in the Customs and Excise Regulations 1996. This requires the establishment of the correct origin category under which the goods fall, as well as the necessary details to clearly prove that the goods meet the particular rule of origin.
2. A separate application is required for each good on which a Ruling is sought.
3. An application for a Ruling must be legible and complete in all material detail. Where appropriate, applications should be supported by sufficient information. Supporting information and documentation may be provided directly by the manufacturer (if this is a different person from the applicant), or by other parties as required in order to establish that the goods meet the rule of origin.
4. Applications that are **incomplete or not supported by sufficient information** in respect of the goods for which a Ruling is sought, **or not accompanied by the prescribed fee, will not be progressed until the information/fee is provided.**
5. **The Chief Executive may, at any time, request information from the applicant if it is considered that such information is relevant to the proper consideration of the application.**
6. The making of a Ruling by the New Zealand Customs Service does not constitute a decision on whether or not the goods may be a prohibited import. It is the responsibility of the importer to ensure that no import prohibition exists for the particular goods on which a Ruling is given.
7. At any time after a Ruling is made, the applicant may be required to satisfy the Chief Executive that the facts and information on which the Customs Ruling was made remain correct and, where applicable, that any conditions on which the Ruling was made have been complied with.
8. The applicant should take particular note of the matters stated in section 125 of the Act which could cause a ruling to cease to have effect.
9. **Fee for a Customs Ruling:** The fee for each type of Customs Ruling is NZ \$40.00 (GST incl.) in relation to each particular good, and must accompany the application on lodgement.
10. **Where to lodge the application: Applications are to be lodged with the New Zealand Customs Service at the following address:**

**National Tariff Advisory Unit
New Zealand Customs Service
Box 2218
Wellington
New Zealand
Phone: 0-4-473 6099
Fax: 0-4-473 7370**
11. **Further enquiries:** Further enquiries on any matter relating to an application can be made to the National Tariff Advisory Unit using the contact address in Note 10.
12. An applicant who is dissatisfied with a Customs Ruling, or a decision to decline to make a Ruling, or a decision to amend a Ruling, may, **within 20 working days** after the date on which notice of the Ruling or decision is given, file a Notice of Appeal in the prescribed form with: The Registrar, Customs Appeal Authority, Tribunals Division, Department for Courts, Box 5027, WELLINGTON.



Te Mana Arai o Aotearoa

APPLICATION FOR A CUSTOMS RULING (CORRECT APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS)

(Under S119 (3) of the Customs and Excise Act 1996)

For Office Use: Date of receipt: Application No.: Date accepted:

APPLICANT'S DETAILS

Applicant: Applicant's Address: Agent (if applicable): Agent's Address: Contact Person: Phone Number: Fax Number:

The Country Or Country Group Relating To The Application

Country or Country Group Tick appropriate box Australia Canada Malaysia Singapore United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Isle of Man, and the Channel Islands Developing Countries (LDC & LLDC) Forum Island Countries (SPARTECA)

Specify Origin Category Relating To The Application

Origin Category Tick appropriate box Unmanufactured raw products/goods wholly obtained/goods wholly produced/goods wholly produced or obtained Goods wholly manufactured Goods partly manufactured

Please Specify Here The Particular Matter Upon Which The Customs Ruling Is Sought

Dotted lines for specifying the particular matter upon which the customs ruling is sought.

Provide Full And Complete Information Relevant To A Ruling On The Matter Specified.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(additional comments may be provided on a separate sheet, signed and attached to this application)

Signed: Dated:

General notes

1. It is important that all the information that is relevant for a proper consideration of the application is provided. Depending on the nature of the application information that may be required could be one or more of the following: manufacturing processes, diagrams, flow charts, technical data, information from the manufacturer if this is different from the applicant, information from the supplier of materials to the manufacturer.
2. A separate application is required for each particular matter on which a Ruling is sought.
3. An application for a Ruling must be legible and complete in all material detail and be supported by sufficient information. Supporting information and documentation may be provided directly by the manufacturer (if this is a different person from the applicant), or by other parties as required in order to establish the facts relating to the application.
4. Applications that are **incomplete or not supported by sufficient information** on the matter for which a Ruling is sought, **or not accompanied by the prescribed fee, will not be progressed until the information/fee is provided.**
5. **The Chief Executive may, at any time, request information from the applicant if it is considered that such information is relevant to the proper consideration of the application.**
6. The applicant should take particular note of the matters stated in section 125 of the Act which could cause a Ruling to cease to have effect.
7. **Fee for a Customs Ruling:** The fee for each type of Customs Ruling is NZ \$40.00 (GST incl.) in relation to each particular matter, and must accompany the application on lodgement.
8. **Where to lodge the application: Applications are to be lodged with the New Zealand Customs Service at the following address:**

National Tariff Advisory Unit
New Zealand Customs Service
Box 2218
Wellington
New Zealand
Phone: 0-4-473 6099
Fax: 0-4-473 7370

9. **Further enquiries:** Further enquiries on any matter relating to an application can be made to the National Tariff Advisory Unit using the contact address in Note 8.
10. An applicant who is dissatisfied with a Customs Ruling, or a decision to decline a Ruling, or a decision to amend a Ruling, may, **within 20 working days** after the date on which notice of the Ruling or decision is given, file a Notice of Appeal in the prescribed form with: The Registrar, Customs Appeal Authority, Tribunals Division, Department for Courts, Box 5027, WELLINGTON.

Dated at Wellington this 18th day of December 2000.

ROBIN DARE, Chief Executive.

Notice under section 288 (7) of the Act.

Copies of these rules are available for inspection in:

- Auckland: The Customhouse, 50 Anzac Avenue, Auckland City.
- Wellington: The Customhouse, 17-21 Whitmore Street, Wellington.
- Christchurch: The Customhouse, Drury Street, Christchurch Airport.
- Dunedin: The Customhouse, 470 Moray Place, Dunedin.

Copies of these rules may be purchased from: Publications, New Zealand Customs Service, Private Bag, 1928, Dunedin.

go9390

Authorities and Other Agencies of State Notices

Maritime Safety Authority of New Zealand

Maritime Transport Act 1994

Notification of Maritime Rules

Pursuant to section 448 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994, notification is hereby given of the making of the following maritime rules:

Part 31A—Amendment 1—Crewing and Watchkeeping—Unlimited, Offshore and Coastal (Non-Fishing Vessels)

Part 31B—Crewing and Watchkeeping—Offshore, Coastal and Restricted (Non-Fishing Vessels)

Part 31C—Crewing and Watchkeeping—Fishing Vessels

Part 40A—Design, Construction and Equipment—Passenger Ships which are not SOLAS ships

Part 40C—Design, Construction and Equipment—Non-Passenger Ships which are not SOLAS ships

Part 41—Anchors and Chain Cables

Part 42A—Safety Equipment—Lifesaving Appliances—Performance, Maintenance and Servicing

Part 42B—Safety Equipment—Fire Appliances—Performance Standards

Part 53—Pilot Transfer Arrangements and Ship-Helicopter Pilot Transfers

Parts 31A, 31B, 31C, 40A, 40C, 41, 42A, 42B and 53 shall come into force on 1 February 2001.

Parts 31A, 31B, 31C, 40A, 40C, 41, 42A, 42B and 53 are available for inspection at the Head Office of the Maritime Safety Authority, Level Eight, gen-i House, 109 Featherston Street, Wellington and for purchase from Baseline Management Limited, Level One, Graphic Images House, 35-39 Torrens Terrace, Wellington.

Dated at Wellington this 18th day of December 2000.

RUSSELL PAUL KILVINGTON, Director of Maritime Safety.

au9393

Regulation Summary

Notice Under the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989

Pursuant to the Acts and Regulations Publication Act 1989, notice is hereby given of the making of regulations as under:

<i>Authority for Enactment</i>	<i>Title or Subject-matter</i>	<i>Serial Number</i>	<i>Date of Enactment</i>	<i>Price Code</i>	<i>Retail</i>
Civil List Act 1979	Civil List Order (No 2) 2000	2000/277	18/12/00	2-A	\$1.60
Student Loan Scheme Act 1992	Student Loan Scheme (Repayment Threshold) Regulations 2000	2000/278	18/12/00	2-A	\$1.60
Health Reforms (Transitional Provisions) Act 1993, Health Sector (Transfers) Amendment Act 2000	Health Reforms (Transfer of Assets and Liabilities of Health Benefits Limited) Order 2000	2000/279	18/12/00	4-BX	\$2.10

Dietitians Act 1950	Dietitians Amendment Regulations 2000	2000/280	18/12/00	8-BY	\$2.30
Legal Services Act 2000	Legal Services Regulations 2000	2000/281	18/12/00	6-BY	\$2.30
District Courts Act 1947	District Courts Amendment Rules (No 2) 2000	2000/282	18/12/00	31-CY	\$3.95
Survey Act 1986	Survey (Departmental Fees and Charges) Amendment Regulations (No 2) 2000	2000/283	18/12/00	7-BY	\$2.30
Land Transport Act 1998	Traffic Amendment Regulations 2000	2000/284	18/12/00	9-CX	\$3.60
Land Transport Act 1998	Land Transport (Offences and Penalties) Amendment Regulations 2000	2000/285	18/12/00	20-CY	\$3.95
Penal Institutions Act 1954	Penal Institutions (Christchurch Prison) Notice 2000	2000/286	14/12/00	3-BX	\$2.10

Postage and Packaging Charge: Mail Orders

If two or more copies are ordered, the remittance should cover the *cash price* and the *maximum charge* for the *total value of purchases* as follows:

<i>Total Value of Purchases</i>	<i>Maximum Charge</i>
\$12.00 and less	\$1.50
\$12.01 and greater	\$3.25

Copies can be bought or ordered by mail from Legislation Direct, P.O. Box 12-418, Wellington. Please quote title and serial numbers. Prices for quantities supplied on application. Copies are also available over the counter at the following locations:

Bennetts Bookshop Limited: Bowen House, Lambton Quay (P.O. Box 5334), Wellington; Level One, Whitcoulls Corner Store, Queen Street (P.O. Box 5513, Wellesley Street), Auckland; Centreplace, Bryce Street (P.O. Box 928), Hamilton; 38-42 Broadway Avenue (P.O. Box 1820), Palmerston North; 111 Cashel Street (Private Bag), Christchurch 1; and located in Whitcoulls, 143 George Street (P.O. Box 1104), Dunedin.

rs9394

General Section

Meat New Zealand

Meat Board Act 1997

2001 United States Beef and Veal Tariff Rate Quota Allocation

Pursuant to section 33 of the Meat Board Act 1997, notice is given that the New Zealand Meat Board has allocated access of United States Beef and Veal Tariff Rate Quota for the 2001 Quota Year, commencing 1 January 2001, as follows:

<i>Company</i>	<i>General Quota Allowance (tonnes p.w)</i>	<i>Reserved Quota Allowance (tonnes p.w)</i>	<i>Total Quota Allowance (tonnes p.w)</i>
Abco Meats Limited		550.7	550.7
Affco New Zealand Limited	41,712.2		41,712.2
Alliance Group Limited	11,868.6		11,868.6
Blue Sky Marketing Limited	468.2		468.2
Canterbury Meat Packers Limited	7,964.4		7,964.4
Dairy Meats Limited	4,686.6		4,686.6
Canterbury Meat Packers/Five Star Beef Limited (Consortium)	3,063.2		3,063.2
Greenlea Premier Meats Limited	11,756.8		11,756.8
Horizon Meats New Zealand Limited	716.7		716.7
Kiwi Beef Consortium		1,399.9	1,399.9
Masterpiece Trading Limited	758.3		758.3
Outlands NZ Limited	158.9	81.6	240.5
Phoenix Meat Company Limited	3,472.6		3,472.6
PPCS Limited	15,525.9		15,525.9
Richmond Limited	64,471.4		64,471.4
Riverlands Holdings Limited	17,683.3		17,683.3
Taranaki Abattoir Co (1992) Limited		155.0	155.0
Taylor Preston Limited	6,072.8		6,072.8
UBP Limited	10,473.4		10,473.4

Wallford Meats Limited	6,146.6		6,146.6
Wilson Hellaby Limited		4,210.0	4,210.0
Total	206,999.9	6,397.1	213,397.0
Allowance for samples			5.0
Total			213,402.0

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

The allocation mechanism used is as set out and described in the “*Quota Allowance Allocation System in Respect of United States Beef and Veal Tariff Rate Quota*”, copies of which are available from the Board.

Dated at Wellington this 15th day of December 2000.

A. DOMETAKIS, Board Secretary, New Zealand Meat Board.

gs9396

2001 European Union Sheepmeat and Goatmeat Tariff Rate Quota Allocation

Pursuant to section 33 of the Meat Board Act 1997, notice is given that the New Zealand Meat Board has allocated access of European Union Sheepmeat and Goatmeat Tariff Rate Quota for the 2001 Quota Year, commencing 1 January 2001, as follows:

<i>Company</i>	<i>General Quota Allowance (tonnes c.w.e.)</i>	<i>Reserved Quota Allowance (tonnes c.w.e.)</i>	<i>Total Quota Allowance (tonnes c.w.e.)</i>
Alpine Export Limited	72.9	83.5	156.4
Advanced Foods of New Zealand Limited	1,108.2		1,108.2
Affco New Zealand Limited	25,081.1		25,081.1
Alliance Group Limited	59,367.8		59,367.8
Blue Sky Marketing Limited	5,915.6		5,915.6
Canterbury Meat Packers Limited	8,620.9		8,620.9
Crusader Meats New Zealand Limited	1,782.2		1,782.2
Davmet New Zealand Limited	2,109.4		2,109.4
Forty Degrees South Limited	18.9		18.9
C R Grace Limited	292.8	471.4	764.2
Frasertown Meat Co Limited	1,577.4		1,577.4
Horizon Meats New Zealand Limited	87.3		87.3
Heartland Prime Meats NZ Limited (Consortium)	2,293.9		2,293.9
Lakeview Farm Fresh Limited		1,150.2	1,150.2
LANZ Company Limited		675.1	675.1
Lean Meats Limited	978.5		978.5
Lamb Packers Feilding Limited	3,694.5		3,694.5
PPCS Limited	53,406.9		53,406.9
Prime Range Meats Limited		1,403.9	1,403.9
Progressive Gisborne Limited	1,388.6		1,388.6
F J Ramsey Meats (Paerata) Limited	1,185.6		1,185.6
Richmond Limited	37,683.7		37,683.7
Southern Organics New Zealand Limited		72.9	72.9
Te Kuiti Meat Marketing Limited	3,223.0		3,223.0
Taylor Preston Limited	9,391.7		9,391.7
Venex Limited		228.5	228.5
Wallace Meats Limited, Piriaka	618.0		618.0
Wallace Trust Meats Limited		1,228.7	1,228.7
Wilson Hellaby Limited		1,481.8	1,481.8
Total	219,899.0	6,796.0	226,695.0
Allowance for samples			5.0
Total			226,700.0

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

The allocation mechanism used is as set out and described in the “*Quota Allowance Allocation System in Respect of European Union Sheepmeat and Goatmeat Tariff Rate Quota*”, copies of which are available from the Board.

Dated at Wellington this 15th day of December 2000.

A. DOMETAKIS, Board Secretary, New Zealand Meat Board.

gs9395